



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
www.nsplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/281,760	03/30/1999		ROBERT LAWTON	241/08	7613
27239	7590	12/26/2001			
IDEXX			EXAMINER		
C/O HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHILE LLP - SD 301 RAVENSWOOD AVENUE				EWOLDT, GERALD R	
MENLO PA	MENLO PARK, CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•	1644 DATE MAILED: 12/26/2001	26

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/281,760

Applicant

Lawton et al.

Examiner

G. R. Ewoldt

Art Unit 1644



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet	-
There rejecti allowa	IE REPLY FILED <u>Nov 19, 2001</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION erefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonme ection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendme owance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time CE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ent of this application. A proper reply to a final ent which places the application in condition for ly filed Request for Continued Examination
-1	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only	
	a) X The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the	·
0)	b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as sexpires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later rejection.	the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever
ext app set	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exappropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply remailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent.	tension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The date of the shortened statutory period for reply original sceived by the Office later than three months after the
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brid 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avo	ef must be filed within the period set forth in bid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🗆	requisite fees.	on of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with
3. X	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/	or search. (See NOTE below);
	(b) they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);	
(C)	(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for application in strength of the property of the prope	eal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d)	(d) \square they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding n	number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: New limitations, i.e., polyclonal antibody, antigen-binding frag	ment, hybrid antibody, single chain antibody.
	comprise new issues requiring new search/consideration.	
4. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
5. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s	would be allowable if submitted in a s).
6. 🗆	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \square request for reconsideration application in condition for allowance because:	n has been considered but does NOT place the
7. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not direct by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ted SOLELY to issues which were newly raised
8. 🕱	\overline{X} For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see at	ttached written explanation, if any):
	Claim(s) allowed: none	
	Claim(s) objected to:	
	Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 6-11, 15-17, 21-23, 27-30, 34-37, and 41-43	3
9. 🗆	☐ The proposed drawing correction filed ona)☐ ha	as b) \square has not been approxed by the Examine
10. 🗆 I		<i>(</i>) .
_	_	PATRICK J. NOLAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER, /2/26/0/